1		
2		
3		
4	LINHTED CTATES DI	CTDICT COLIDT
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
6	AISEAI	ILE
7	STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,	
	Plaintiff,	
8		C17-1729 TSZ
9	V.	C17-1730 TSZ
9	JOHN DOE (24.10.20.215)	C17-1731 TSZ
10	JOHN DOE (24.18.38.215);	C17-1732 TSZ
10	JOHN DOE (71.231.142.237); JOHN DOE (73.225.38.130);	C17-1733 TSZ
11	JANE/JOHN DOE (76.22.118.13);	C17-5952 TSZ
	JOHN DOE (50.54.142.188);	C17-5954 TSZ
12	JANE DOE (71.231.108.136);	C17-5955 TSZ
	JOHN DOE (71.231.164.231);	C17-5956 TSZ
13	JANE DOE (71.59.168.162);	MINITE ODDED
	JANE DOE (71.55.108.102); JANE DOE (73.254.159.175),	MINUTE ORDER
14	371(L DOL (73.234.137.173),	
-	Defendants.	
15		
16	The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable	
	Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge:	
17	(1) Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties in Case No. C17-1731, docket	
	no. 33, the deadline for plaintiff to file a respons	
18	with defendant's amended counterclaims, docked 2018.	
19		L' C N 017 1700 1 1 4 16
20	(2) Plaintiff's motion for entry of default in Case No. C17-1732, docket no. 16 is DENIED. In its sealed response to the Rule 45 subpoena served by plaintiff, Comcast	
21	identified the subscribers as Jane/John Doe, and summons was issued consistently with the information provided by Comcast. Summons was served only on John Doe. Given the confusion about who is the appropriate defendant, the Court declines to enter default	
22	at this time.	ndant, the Court decimes to enter derault
23		

MINUTE ORDER - 1

1	(3) In Case Nos. C17-1729, C17-1730, C17-1733, C17-5952, C17-5954, C17-5955, and C17-5956, plaintiff has voluntarily dismissed its claims with prejudice, without any explanation. Plaintiff's counsel is DIRECTED to file an affidavit, signed	
2		
3	under penalty of perjury, on or before June 8, 2018, indicating the reasons for such dismissals and, to the extent that settlement was the basis, showing cause why plaintiff	
	and/or its attorneys should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with the Court's	
4	directive not to communicate directly with any defendant for any purpose until further order of the Court. Such affidavit shall also (i) explain why no response to the Rule 45	
5	subpoena was submitted by Frontier Communications in C17-1733, (ii) explain why summons was (a) not served in C17-5954, and (b) not requested in C17-5955 and C17-	
6	5956, and (iii) disclose the amount of any settlements. To the extent that settlement	
7	amounts are set forth in the affidavit, the affidavit may be filed under seal by referencing this Minute Order and without a separate motion to seal.	
8	(4) The Clerk is DIRECTED to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counse of record.	
9	Dated this 29th day of May, 2018.	
10		
11	<u>William M. McCool</u> Clerk	
12		
	<u>s/Karen Dews</u> Deputy Clerk	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		